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Abstract—Complete phase change process within a divergent porous evaporator is numerically investigated in this paper. A smoothing algorithm, proposed by the present authors, is used in order to deal with the discontinuity in effective diffusion coefficient. Effects of various parameters on the temperature distribution are carefully investigated, which clearly indicate that operating conditions and the geometry of diffuser strongly influence the outlet condition of steam, whereas, porous media properties have only minor impact.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Phase change inside porous media forms an important class of problems that finds applications in various engineering disciplines [1]. Two-phase flow within porous media has been traditionally solved by employing different idealization, e.g., separated flow model [2], [3], separated phase Model [4], [5] and Two-Phase Mixture Model (TPMM) [6]–[8]. Among them, the TPMM of [8] represents a general numerical formalism for efficient simulation of phase-change processes inside porous media. This model is characterized by the coexistence of a two-phase zone surrounded by single-phase regions with moving interfaces and being more convenient than others, is widely used for solving phase change problems within porous media. Owing mainly to this reason, several researchers [9]–[13] in the past used the model of Wang [8] for numerical simulations under different flow conditions inside porous media.

A careful review of literature reveals that all the previous studies dealt with incomplete phase change process. To the best of the present authors’ knowledge, there is no study available in the open literature where complete phase change process within porous media is the volume expansion of phase change fluid due to huge difference in densities of liquid and vapor phases. Since the vapor-phase occupies significantly larger volume, the velocity increases considerably in a constant cross-sectional area duct during a liquid-vapor phase change process. Under this situation, it would be worthwhile to explore the possibility of using a duct with increasing cross-sectional area in the axial direction.

The aim of the present work, therefore, is to numerically investigate the complete phase change process inside a divergent porous evaporator using TPMM [8] and its modification [14] with one-dimensional formulation. In addition, the interaction between phases (liquid to two-phase and two-phase to vapor) and effects of different parameters such as porosity, outlet pipe diameter, heat flux, pipe length, length of divergent section and inlet velocity on the flow and temperature fields are also considered for simulations.

II. MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION

A. Problem Description

Schematic representation a divergent porous evaporator, considered for the simulation of complete phase change process, is shown in Fig. 1 along with its dimensions. The pipe has increasing cross-sectional area in the axial direction and is filled with an isotropic, homogenous porous medium. An external pressure gradient drives the sub-cooled liquid water, entering at a temperature $T_{in}$ (lower than the saturation temperature $T_{sat}$) and a velocity $u_{in}$, to flow through the pipe. It is then heated to the superheated vapor state by applying uniform heat flux on the external surface of the evaporator at liquid and vapor phases, which may lead to non-physical “jump” in the predicted temperature. In order to deal with such discontinuity and enable simulation of complete phase change process within porous media based on the TPMM [8], present authors proposed a smoothing algorithm for the effective diffusion coefficient [14].

Another important aspect of evaporation inside porous media is the volume expansion of phase change fluid due to huge difference in densities of liquid and vapor phases. Since the vapor-phase occupies significantly larger volume, the velocity increases considerably in a constant cross-sectional area duct during a liquid-vapor phase change process. Under this situation, it would be worthwhile to explore the possibility of using a duct with increasing cross-sectional area in the axial direction.

The aim of the present work, therefore, is to numerically investigate the complete phase change process inside a divergent porous evaporator using TPMM [8] and its modification [14] with one-dimensional formulation. In addition, the interaction between phases (liquid to two-phase and two-phase to vapor) and effects of different parameters such as porosity, outlet pipe diameter, heat flux, pipe length, length of divergent section and inlet velocity on the flow and temperature fields are also considered for simulations.
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the diffuser section (Fig. 1). Further, the flow is considered steady as well as one dimensional and all properties are assumed to be constant for both liquid and vapor phases.

### B. Governing Equations and Boundary Conditions

In the present study, all conservation equations are made dimensionless according to definitions presented in Table I, where symbols have their usual meaning. They are carefully chosen in order to retain all governing equations along with expressions for mixture variables in the same form as in [8]. Integrating dimensionless forms of the resultant governing equations over the entire pipe cross-section at a given axial location, the respective one-dimensional forms can be obtained, where variations remain only in the axial direction. Thus, for a steady state problem, the mass conservation equation can be written as:

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left( \rho^* u_i^* A_i^* \right) = 0, \tag{1}
\]

where \( A_i^* = R_i^2 / 2 \) is the pipe cross-sectional area per unit radian and \( R_i^* \) is the local pipe radius. Conservation of energy, on the other hand, is obtained as:

\[
\frac{d}{dx} \left( A_i^* \gamma_i^* u_i^* \mathcal{H}_i^* \right) = \frac{d}{dx} \left( A_i^* \gamma_i^* \mathcal{H}_i^* \right) + P^* \mathcal{Q}_i^* \tag{2}
\]

with \( P^* = R_i^* \) being the pipe perimeter and \( b_i^* \) is the body force per unit mass in the x-direction. In Table I, \( \bar{b}_i = b_i / g \) represents the normalized body force with respect to acceleration due to gravity \( g \) and \( Fr = u_i / \sqrt{gR_i} \) is the Froude number. In the definition of viscosity, \( Re_\ell = \rho u_i R_i / \mu_i \) is the inlet Reynolds number and \( \nu_i^* = \mu_i / \rho_i \) in (2) is the kinematic viscosity of the vapor phase. Further definitions of mixture properties, appearing in (1) and (2), are listed in Tables I and II, where \( s \) is the liquid volume fraction and subscripts ‘\( l \)’, ‘\( v \)’ and ‘\( s \)’ stand for liquid, vapor (for fluid) and solid (for porous medium) phases, respectively. The expression for effective diffusion coefficient \( \Gamma_i^* \) in (2) contains the effective thermal conductivity \( k_{\text{eff}} \) in its dimensionless form, which in the present investigation is obtained from the parallel arrangement model. As shown in Table I, the dimensionless thermal conductivity of liquid phase is given as \( k_i^* = 1 / Pe_\ell \), where \( Pe_\ell = u_i / \alpha_i \) is the Peclet number based on velocity and pipe radius at the inlet and liquid properties. It may be further noted that Reynolds and Peclet numbers are related to each other as \( Pe_\ell = Re_\ell Pr_\ell \), where \( Pr_\ell = \nu / \alpha_i \) is the Prandtl number of the liquid phase. Therefore, \( Pe_\ell \) is implicitly specified by fixing \( Re_\ell \) and the working fluid, i.e., \( Pr_\ell \).

Evaluation of quantities, listed in Table II, requires the liquid saturation \( s \), which, along with the local temperature \( T_i^* \), can be calculated from the enthalpy as shown in Table III.

### Table I: Definitions of Dimensionless Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensionless variables</th>
<th>Expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>( x = s/R_i ), ( \tau = t/R_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>( \rho^* = \rho l \rho v / \rho_i = 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Velocity</td>
<td>( u^* = u_i / u_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>( T_i^* = TC_i / h_{\text{sat}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy</td>
<td>( h_i^* = h_i / h_{\text{sat}} : h_i^* = 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified volumetric enthalpy</td>
<td>( H_i^* = H_i / h_{\text{sat}} : H_i^* = \rho (h_i - 2h_{\text{sat}}) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat</td>
<td>( C_v^* = C_v / C_i : C_v^* = 1 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic viscosity</td>
<td>( \mu^* = \mu_i / \rho_i \mu_i : \mu_i = \mu_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity</td>
<td>( k_i^* = k_i / \rho_i C_i K_i / h_{\text{sat}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body force per unit mass</td>
<td>( b_i^* = b_i / g R_i / u_i^* = b_i / Fr^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat flux</td>
<td>( \dot{Q}_i^* = \dot{Q}<em>i / \rho_i C_i h</em>{\text{sat}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability or Darcy number</td>
<td>( K^* = K / R_i^2 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II: Further Definitions of Dimensionless Mixture Properties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Expressions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>( \rho^* = \rho l + \rho v (1-s) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass velocity</td>
<td>( \rho^* u^* = \rho l u_l^* + \rho v u_v^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy</td>
<td>( \rho^* h_i^* = \rho l h_l + (1-s) \rho v h_v^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kinematic viscosity</td>
<td>( \nu^* = [k_i / \nu_l + k_i / \nu_v] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective thermal conductivity</td>
<td>( k_{\text{eff}}^* = (1-s) \nu_l + s \nu_v )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advection correction coefficient</td>
<td>( \gamma_i = [(\nu_l / \rho_l) (1-s) h_{\text{sat}} + s \nu_v h_v^<em>] / [2(\nu_l / \rho_l - \nu_v / \rho_v) h_{\text{sat}} + (1-s) \nu_v h_v^</em> / \rho_v] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective diffusion Coefficient (diffusivity)</td>
<td>( \Gamma_i^* = \frac{D_i^*}{h_{\text{sat}}} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillary diffusion coefficient</td>
<td>( D^* = \frac{\nu_l^* \nu_v^<em>}{\nu_l^</em> + \nu_v^<em>} + \frac{\nu_l^</em> \nu_v^<em>}{\nu_l^</em> + \nu_v^<em>} [1 - \frac{d^</em>}{d^*}] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface tension coefficient</td>
<td>( \sigma = \sigma_l u_l^* + \sigma_v u_v^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative mobilities</td>
<td>( \lambda_i = \frac{k_k / \nu_k}{k_k / \nu_k + k_k / \nu_k} : \lambda_i = \frac{k_k / \nu_k}{k_k / \nu_k + k_k / \nu_k} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindrance function</td>
<td>( f = k_k / \nu_k )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative permeabilities</td>
<td>( k_i^* = \nu_i^* / \nu_l^* + \nu_i^* / \nu_v^* )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillary pressure function</td>
<td>( J = 1.417(1-s)^2 - 2.12(1-s)^3 + 1.263(1-s)^3 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III: Supplementary Relations between Modified Volumetric Enthalpy, Temperature, and Liquid Saturation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( H_i^* )</th>
<th>( T_i^* )</th>
<th>( s )</th>
<th>( dh_i^*/dt )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( H_i^* \leq H_{\text{sat}} )</td>
<td>( H_i^* + 2\rho_i h_{\text{sat}} / \rho_i C_i )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>( \rho_i C_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_{\text{sat}} &lt; H_i^* \leq H_{\text{sat}} )</td>
<td>( T_{\text{sat}}^* - H_i^* - \rho_i h_{\text{sat}} / \rho_i C_i )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( \rho_i C_i )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_i^* &lt; H_{\text{sat}} )</td>
<td>( T_{\text{sat}}^* + \frac{H_{\text{sat}} - H_i^*}{1 + (\rho_i - \rho_v) h_{\text{sat}} / \rho_v C_v} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>( \rho_i C_i )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( H_{\text{sat}}^* = -\rho_i (2h_{\text{sat}} - h_{\text{sat}}^*) = 2h_{\text{sat}} - h_{\text{sat}}^* : H_{\text{sat}}^* = -\rho_v h_{\text{sat}}^* \)

Solution of (1) and (2) requires appropriate (as well as consistent) boundary conditions. At the inlet, i.e., at \( x = 0 \), \( u_i^* = 1 \) and \( H_i^* = \rho_i C_i (T_i^* + 2h_{\text{sat}} - h_{\text{sat}}^*) \) are prescribed. Further, since sub-cooled liquid enters the pipe, \( \rho_i^* = 1 \) is set. On the other hand, at the outlet, i.e., at \( x = L/R_\ell \), the second derivatives of all variables are set to zero.

Under steady-state condition, owing to the conservation of mass in (1), the mass flow rates at all the cell faces can be set
equal to that at the inlet and hence they are calculated as:

$$m^*_v = m^*_m = \rho^*_v q^*_v A^*_v$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the suffix ‘cf’ stands for cell faces and the pressure variation does not affect the velocity solution. Since by definition, the dimensionless density and velocity at the inlet are both set equal to unity, the cell face velocity can be directly obtained from (3) as: $$u^*_v = A^*_v/m^*_v$$, where $$\rho^*_v$$ has to be calculated iteratively from the known liquid saturation as shown in Table II. The outlet volumetric enthalpy $$H^*$$, however, is required for solving (2), which is obtained by linear extrapolation using values at the neighboring interior nodes that ensures $$\partial^2 H^* / \partial x^2 = 0$$ at the outlet.

### III. NUMERICAL SOLUTION

#### A. Discretization of Energy Equation

The discretization of energy conservation (2) is carried out by the Finite Volume Method (FVM) [15]. The first-order accurate Upwind Differencing Scheme (UDS) is employed to deal with the diffusive term. Effective diffusion coefficients and advection correction coefficients at cell faces are obtained using the harmonic mean approximation [15] (that ensures the balance of diffusive energy flux) and the linear interpolation from the adjacent nodal values, respectively. Owing to the strong non-linearity in (2), arising out of the interdependence of dependent variable $$H^*$$, mixture properties and axial velocity, discretized equations are further under-relaxed and an under-relaxation factor of 0.1 is generally used for most of the simulations in order to achieve convergence. The resulting tri-diagonal system of simultaneous equations is solved iteratively using the Thomas algorithm [15]. For all the cases presented in this article, the convergence criterion is set to $$10^{-5}$$, which ensures the overall energy conservation in the system to be satisfied within 0.01%.

#### B. Treatment of Diffusion Coefficient

According to the experience of the present authors, the major problem that is encountered while modeling the complete phase change process within a porous medium is the treatment of discontinuities in the effective diffusion coefficient at the boundaries of two-phase regions (i.e., between sub-cooled liquid and two-phase as well as two-phase and superheated vapor). It may be noted from Table II that $$\Gamma^*_v$$ has two distinct parts. In the single phase regions, $$D^* = 0$$ (since either $$k_s = 0$$ or $$k_v = 0$$), whereas in the two-phase region, $$dT^*/dH^* = 0$$ (see Table III). Therefore, for $$s = 0$$ (saturated vapor) and $$s = 1$$ (saturated liquid), those uniquely identify the exact physical conditions (states) of the working fluid, two different values of $$\Gamma^*_v$$ are obtained with one of them, calculated from the two-phase region, being exactly equal to zero as both $$D^*$$ and $$dT^*/dH^*$$ are zero for these conditions. As it will be shortly apparent, such discontinuities, under certain conditions, can produce non-physical “jump” in the predicted temperature. In order to eliminate these discontinuities, smoothing functions for $$\Gamma^*_v$$ are applied, following the suggestion of Alomar et al. [14], for the following four regions: i) sub-cooled liquid phase, close to $$T = T_{sat}$$, ii) superheated vapor phase, close to $$T = T_{sat}$$, iii) two-phase region, close to $$s = 1$$ and iv) two-phase region, close to $$s = 0$$. The overall smoothing algorithm is briefly described next in this section.

For the single phase regions i) and ii), $$\Gamma^*_v$$ is expressed as:

$$\Gamma^*_v = \left[ (\Gamma^*_{hl})^{m_d} + (\Gamma^*_{hv})^{m_d} \right]^{1/m_d} \hspace{1cm} (4a)$$

$$\Gamma^*_v = \left[ (\Gamma^*_{hv})^{m_d} + (\Gamma^*_{hv})^{m_d} \right]^{1/m_v} \hspace{1cm} (4b)$$

where, $$m_d$$ and $$m_v$$ are the exponents for asymptotes suggested by Churchill and Usagi [16], whereas $$\Gamma^*_{hl}$$ and $$\Gamma^*_{hv}$$ are given as:

$$\Gamma^*_{hl} = \Gamma^*_h \left[ \psi^*_h + \phi^*_h (1 - \psi^*_l) \right] \hspace{1cm} (5a)$$

$$\Gamma^*_{hv} = \Gamma^*_h \left[ \psi^*_h + \phi^*_h (1 - \psi^*_v) \right] \hspace{1cm} (5b)$$

In (5), $$\Gamma^*_h$$ is assigned values equal to $$\psi^*_h \Gamma^*_h$$ and $$\psi^*_v \Gamma^*_h$$ for saturated liquid and vapor states, respectively. Further, $$\phi^*_h$$ and $$\phi^*_v$$ are scaled temperatures for liquid and vapor phases, respectively, and are defined as:

$$\phi^*_h = (T_{sat} - T^*) / \Delta T^*_h \hspace{1cm} (6a)$$

$$\phi^*_v = (T^* - T_{sat}) / \Delta T^*_v \hspace{1cm} (6b)$$

where, $$\Delta T^*_h$$ and $$\Delta T^*_v$$ are the temperatures over which $$\Gamma^*_h$$ is relaxed in liquid and vapor phases, respectively. In (4)–(6), $$m_d, m_v, \psi^*_h, \psi^*_v, \Delta T^*_h$$ and $$\Delta T^*_v$$ are adjustable parameters to be chosen according to the requirement.

Analogously for the two-phase regions iii) and iv), $$\Gamma^*_v$$ is expressed as:

$$\Gamma^*_v = \left[ \Gamma^*_{hls} \right]^{m_d} + \left[ \Gamma^*_{hsv} \right]^{m_d} \hspace{1cm} (7a)$$

$$\Gamma^*_v = \left[ \Gamma^*_{hvsl} \right]^{m_d} + \left[ \Gamma^*_{hvsv} \right]^{m_d} \hspace{1cm} (7b)$$

where, $$m_d$$ and $$m_v$$ are similar exponents for asymptotes and $$\Gamma^*_v$$ is the value of diffusion coefficient that one would obtain for a given value of $$s$$, whereas $$\Gamma^*_{hls}$$ and $$\Gamma^*_{hsv}$$ are given as:

$$\log(\Gamma^*_{hls}) = \log(\psi^*_h \Gamma^*_h) + \frac{1-s}{s} \log(\Gamma^*_h) - \log(\psi^*_h) \hspace{1cm} (8a)$$

$$\log(\Gamma^*_{hsv}) = \log(\psi^*_v \Gamma^*_h) + \frac{s}{1-s} \log(\Gamma^*_h) - \log(\psi^*_v) \hspace{1cm} (8b)$$

In (8), $$s_l$$ and $$s_v$$ are the cut-off liquid saturations close to saturated liquid and vapor phases, respectively, for which the
true values of $\Gamma_h^*$ are obtained as $\Gamma_{h,s_1}$ and $\Gamma_{h,s_2}$, respectively. Quite obviously, in (7) and (8), $m_{d0}$, $m_{dis}$, $s_i$ and $s_v$ are the adjustable parameters those dictate the degree of smoothing of effective diffusion coefficient in the two-phase region.

In the present study, water is considered as the working fluid and its properties are given in Table IV, where the saturation temperature $T_{sat}$ is taken as 100°C [9], [17], [18]. The consequence of smoothing is demonstrated in Fig. 2, where other than the properties of the water, $k_w$=20W/mK is used, which is typical for compressed wire-mesh structures made out of low-carbon steel [18]. Further, in order to generate the data for $R_{eq}$ as a function of $\hat{H}$, the following values are used: $Re_l = 20$ (as operating condition), $\varepsilon=0.3$, $K'=10^{-8}$, $n=3$ (as properties of the medium), $m_{d0} = m_{dis} = 4$, $m_{d} = m_{dis} = 0.25$, $\psi = \psi_r = 0.75$, $\Delta T_x = \Delta T_v = 0.5 \degree C$, $\theta_i = 0.995$ and $s_r = 0.005$ (as adjustable smoothing parameters).

Fig. 2 clearly shows that the effective diffusion coefficient, other than being a strong function of $\hat{H}$, is discontinuous at saturated liquid and vapor conditions. It is obvious that the application of smoothing algorithm, suggested by Alomar et al. [14] restricts the effective diffusivity to finite values, i.e., preventing it from going to zero, at $s=0$ and $s=1$ in the two-phase region. It may be further noted that the drastic change in $\Gamma_h^*$ is more prominent close to the saturated vapor condition, even after employing the proposed smoothing algorithm; perhaps explaining the reason for not using the TPMM of Wang [8] for the simulation of phase change process inside porous media from the two-phase mixture to the superheated vapor state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE IV: THERMO-PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF WATER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density, $\rho$ (kg/m$^3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat, $c_p$ (J/kgK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic viscosity, $\mu$ (kg/ms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity, $k$ (W/mK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturation enthalpy, $h$ (J/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent heat of evaporation, $h_v$ (J/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface tension coefficient, $\sigma$ (N/m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Ranges of Parameters

Simulations of complete phase change process within a divergent porous evaporator is carried out during the present investigation by applying the new smoothing strategy for effective diffusion coefficient, as briefly explained in the foregoing section, in order to avoid “jump” in the predicted temperature profile that may occur at the interfaces of two-phase regions. For all the cases presented in this article, $R_i$ is taken as 25 mm, $R_o$ is varied between 28 mm and 35 mm and $L$ is varied from 375 mm to 425 mm. The length of the divergent section $l_0$ depends on $l_i$ and $l_r$. In the present study, $l_i$ is varied between 0.1L and 0.2L, whereas $l_r$ is kept fixed at 0.1L. Entire duct is filled up with a porous medium for which the porosity is varied between 0.2 and 0.4 and the permeability is changed from $6.25\times10^{-11}$ to $6.25\times10^{-13}$.

Liquid water at $T_{sat}$=20°C enters the duct which is then heated to the superheated vapor state by adding constant heat flux, ranging from 18 kW/m$^2$ to 24.5 kW/m$^2$, at the periphery of divergent section of the duct. In a typical application involving phase change inside porous media, the mass flow rate of water is generally quite low and hence it is varied between 1.25 kg/hr and 2 kg/hr, allowing the average inlet velocity to be varied from 0.2 mm/s to 0.25 mm/s. Therefore, in the present study, the following ranges of dimensionless parameters are considered:

- Porosity: $\varepsilon = 0.2 - 0.4$
- Darcy number: $K = 10^{-7} - 10^{-9}$
- Inlet Reynolds number: $Re_l = 17.5 - 22.5$
- Inlet radius: $R_i^* = 1$
- Outlet radius: $R_o^* = 1.12 - 1.4$
- Total length: $L^* = 15 - 17$
- Inlet length: $l_i = 0.1L - 0.2L$
- Outlet length $l_r = 0.1L$
- Length of divergent section: $l_0 = 0.7L - 0.8L$
- Heat Flux: $\dot{Q}_w^* = 4.10^{-7} - 4.5\times10^{-5}$

where, the reference case is taken for $\varepsilon = 0.3$, $K = 10^{-8}$, $Re_l = 20$, $R_i^* = 1.26$, $L^* = 16$, $l_i = 0.1L$, $l_r = 0.1L$, $l_0 = 0.8L$, and $\dot{Q}_w^* = 4.25\times10^{-5}$ and hence these parameters are kept fixed for all the cases, unless otherwise mentioned. They are varied only when their effects on phase change is studied.

![Fig. 2. Comparison of effective diffusion coefficient with and without smoothing treatment at $\varepsilon=0.3$ and $K=10^{-8}$](image)

B. Consequence of Smoothing of Diffusion Coefficient

Prior to obtaining results for the present investigation, a detailed grid independence study is carried out and it is observed that 1000 uniform control volumes are required for obtaining grid-independent results. In order to establish the usefulness of proposed smoothing algorithm for effective diffusion coefficient, results obtained in terms of the predicted axial temperature with and without its application are first presented in Fig. 3, where (a) shows the variation for different porosities with a low heat flux $\dot{Q}_w^* = 3\times10^{-2}$ condition, while in (b) the effect of variable exit radius (divergence angle) is presented for the base heat flux of $\dot{Q}_w^* = 4.25\times10^{-2}$. It is evident from the figure that when phase change takes place only from the sub-cooled liquid to the two-phase mixture, no “jump” in the predicted temperature, close to the saturated liquid condition, is observed and solutions, obtained with and without the smoothing algorithm, are identical. On the other hand, for higher heat flux condition,
when phase change also takes place from the two-phase mixture to the superheated vapor, discontinuous temperature profiles are predicted if the proposed smoothing algorithm is not applied. Nevertheless, even for this case, the comparison also clearly shows that both solutions match each other close to the evaporator exit, indicating that the global energy balance is satisfied by both methods.

It may be noted here that the energy conservation equation is strongly nonlinear in nature. During discretisation, the nonlinearity is addressed in a semi-implicit manner, i.e., by pretending the coefficients, those depend on $H^*$, to be known from the previous iteration. As a result, the rate of convergence as well as converged solutions for certain cases depend on the guessed values. It is observed that if the converged solution obtained with smoothing is used as a guessed solution to the solver that works without smoothing, the latter code not only converges very fast (since guessed values are too close to the true solution), but also to the nearly identical solution without any “jump” in predicted properties. Since these new solutions cannot be separately distinguished from those obtained using the smoothing algorithm, they could not be shown in Fig. 3. It can, therefore, be safely concluded that the proposed smoothing algorithm provides a successful remedy for the occurrence of “jump” in predicted properties without modifying the true (expected) solution and hence can be recommended for the simulation of complete phase change process within porous media.

C. Effects of Operating Conditions

Effects of heat addition and inlet Reynolds number on predicted temperature distributions are shown in Fig. 4. As a general observation, it may be recognized that for the present problem, heat is added only in the diffuser section (marked as the “divergent section” in all similar figures) while the pipe surface at inlet and exit is kept insulated. One may, therefore, expect the temperature at inlet and exit sections to remain unchanged, with their values being equal to those at inlet and exit of the evaporator, respectively. Although this is somewhat true for the exit section, the figure (for that matter all similar figures) clearly shows an increase in fluid temperature at the inlet section. This observation, however, is expected since the phase change problem inside porous media being elliptic in nature, axial diffusion plays a significant role which heats up the upstream section. Most importantly, owing to features of present formulation, axial diffusion remains present even in the two-phase region as there exists a finite gradient of $H^*$, although the temperature remains unchanged at saturation condition. Since heat is added in the backward direction due to axial diffusion, no back-heating effect is felt at the exit section, whereas it is quite prominent at the inlet section which is followed by the heating section.

Other than this general observation, the effect of heat flux is more evident in the vapor phase and is less prominent for the liquid phase, as may be seen from Fig. 4(a). On the other hand, Fig. 4(b) clearly shows that reduction of inlet Reynolds...
number (i.e., decrease in mass flow rate and hence increase in residence time) leads to higher exit temperature. Although both these observations are quite expected, Fig. 4 clearly indicates that a marginal change in the operating condition can lead to a substantial change in the exit temperature. Therefore, operating conditions are required to be properly designed in order to achieve the desired objective.

### D. Effects of Porous Media Properties

Fig. 5 illustrates the effects of porous media properties (in the form of porosity and permeability) on temperature distribution within the porous evaporator. In order to study these effects, the porosity is changed by two-folds (from 0.2 to 0.4), whereas the Darcy number is changed by two orders of magnitude (from $10^{-9}$ to $10^{-7}$). Perhaps this explains more pronounced effect of permeability (see Fig. 5b), as compared to porosity (see Fig. 5a), on the temperature distribution.
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(a) Effect of porosity  
(b) Effect of Permeability (Darcy number)

As far as the energy transport during phase change process is concerned, porous media properties affect the temperature distribution by modifying the effective diffusion coefficient, which changes the amount of energy transport in the upstream direction due to axial diffusion. As evident from Table II, an increase in porosity reduces $\Gamma_h$ in the single phase region, by reducing the contribution of solid-phase conductivity in $k_{eff}$, whereas it enhances $\Gamma_h$ by increasing $D$ in the two-phase region. As a result, phase change initiates early with the increase in porosity and the process is prolonged to a larger axial distance. On the other hand, an increase in permeability increases $\Gamma_h$ only in the two-phase region by enhancing the value of $D$. This causes early initiation of the phase change process and exit solutions remain nearly unaffected.
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(a) Effect of inlet length  
(b) Effect of radius ratio  
(c) Effect of evaporator length

### E. Effects of Evaporator Geometry

Effects of diffuser geometry, in terms of inlet length, radius ratio and length of the evaporator, on the temperature distribution are summarized in Fig. 6. It may be recognized here that the decrease in inlet length, the increase in diffuser angle (i.e., outlet diameter) and the increase in total length of the diffuser effectively enhance the surface area where heat flux is actually added. With the increase in heated surface area by keeping the same heat flux, the total heat input to the working fluid increases, which in turn, enhances the exit temperature of fluid from the evaporator. It is also evident from Fig. 6 that the exit quality of steam is extremely sensitive to the geometry of the divergent porous evaporator and hence
adequate care must be taken while designing such evaporators in order to meet the process requirement.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, a thorough numerical investigation is performed for complete phase change process within a divergent porous evaporator. A newly proposed smoothing algorithm [14] is adopted in order to deal with the discontinuity in the effective diffusion coefficient, which proves to be essential for successfully avoiding the occurrence “jump” in the predicted temperature. Present results clearly indicate that operating conditions and the geometry of diffuser strongly affect the outlet condition of steam, whereas, porous media properties have only minor influence. Most importantly, the analysis, presented in this article, provides a useful tool for designing a divergent porous evaporator in order to achieve complete phase change.
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