Influence of Nano Al₂O₃ Particles on the Adhesion, Hardness and Wear Resistance of Electroless NiP Coatings

S. Karthikeyan and L.Vijayaraghavan

Abstract-In this present study electroless NiP coatings and NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings had been deposited on a mild steel substrate. The surface morphology of both the coatings had studied using scanning electron microscope (SEM), energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) and X-ray diffraction technique. Adhesion, hardness and wear behavior were investigated and compared for NiP and NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings. Optical micrograph images were used for the evaluation of adhesion of coatings which found sufficient and acceptable according to VDI 3198 standard. Hardness of the coating had been evaluated based on depth sensing techniques and substrate effect had avoided by maintaining depth to coating thickness ratio less than 0.1. Due to co-deposition of Al₂O₃ particles the hardness of NiP coatings increased by 20.5% (7.8 GPa to 9.4 GPa). Dry sliding wear tests were conducted on PLINT TE66 micro scale tester against steel ball of hardness 800 HV. Wear resistance of NiP coatings increased with increase in sliding distance. The co-deposition of Al₂O₃ particles in NiP matrix arrest the deformation during sliding of steel ball and thus wear resistance of NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings is superior to NiP coatings. Wear crater morphology were studied using SEM and EDS. Adhesive wear mechanics was observed in NiP coatings and combination of adhesive and abrasive wear mechanism were found in NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings.

Index Terms—Adhesion, electroless coatings, hardness, wear resistance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Coatings are used in improving the surface properties without altering the bulk property of engineering components. Chrome plating is one of the coating process which has extensive wear resistance [1] and corrosion resistance with few micrometer thickness [2]. But the usage of hexavalent chromium has been forbidden in the metal finishing industries due to environmental protection and pollution prevention [3]. To overcome these pollution problems various metals like nickel, tin, zinc, copper and brass has been used in engineering industries. Among these elements nickel based coatings play a major role in our everyday lives for decorative and industrial applications. Low temperature heat treatment of nickel coatings resulted higher wear resistance which equal or exceeds that of hard chromium coatings [4]. Properties such as corrosion resistance, better strength at high and low temperature, high hardness [5] and high wear resistance make nickel a suitable candidate for mechanical parts subject to abrasion and erosion. Apart

Manuscript received February 24, 2015; revised June 20, 2015.

from mechanical application nickel coatings are used in the computer industry for storage and retrieval of information on the compact disks. Electroless nickel phosphorous (NiP) is a well established autocatalytic chemical technique has the advantage of providing uniform coating thickness on a surfaces of metals [6], alloys [7] and plastics [8]. Binary NiP alloy coating is obtained using sodium hypophosphite as reducing agent and without the use of electricity. Addition of surfactants [9] in NiP alloy coating crystallize the nano crystal of nickel there by increases the micro hardness. On the other side, the auto industry needs ternary alloys for excellent wear, corrosion and abrasion resistant surfaces. Inclusion of hard particles like oxides, carbides, ceramics and insoluble powders in NiP coatings were attempted [10]-[12] and found increases the hardness and wear resistance. Successful co-deposition of the included particle depends on size distribution [13], bath composition and inert nature of the particle. Co-deposition of Al₂O₃ particles in electoless nickel was attempted by many researchers [14], [15] and the co-deposition is still at the experimental stage. Adhesion is an interfacial property and important in determining the durability of thin coatings. In the field of surface chemistry, adhesion is a fundamental parameter and intimately related to wear. Adhesion measuring techniques can be classified into nucleation method and mechanical method.

In this study nano Al_2O_3 particles are co-deposited with NiP coatings and its surface morphology characterized. Adhesion, hardness and wear resistance for both NiP coatings and NiP- Al_2O_3 were compared.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

Mild steel plate of thickness 6mm was prepared in the uniform size 20 mm \times 20 mm. The samples were annealed at 200°C for 8 hrs in a furnace for uniform microstructure. The steel plates were surface grinded and polished by 320, 800 and 1200 SiC papers. The surface roughness was maintained approximately 0.2 µm. Polished samples were cleaned in soap water and immersed in acetone and ensured for oil and dust free surface. The cleaned samples were activated in 10% HCl solution for 2 to 3 min before immersed in electrolyte solution. Coatings were synthesized on the prepared sample by immersion on the electrolyte solution and the chemical compositions of the solution were listed in Table.1. The pH of the electrolyte solution was maintained at 4-5. The solution was indirectly heated in an oil bath to temperature $87 \pm 3^{\circ}$ C and was controlled using thermocouple. The samples were kept inside the electrolyte and the coating was done for period of 2 hours. The nano Al₂O₃ particles of size 50 to 100 nm were ultrasonicated in distilled water for 30 min before mixed in the electrolyte solution. The uniform distribution of nano Al₂O₃

The authors are with Department of Mechanical Engineering, IIT Madras, Chennai, 600036, India (e-mail: kkeyanme@gmail.com, lvijay@iitm.ac.in).

particles were maintained by providing an air agitation system in the electrolyte solution.

The surface morphology and surface characterization of NiP and NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings were done by scanning electron microscopy (FEG-SEM JEOL JSM-7001F) with Oxford EDX spectrum microanalysis system equipped with a light elements detector. X-ray diffraction was recorded using Bragg-Bernato configuration with Cu K α l radiation having a wavelength of 1.542 Å radiations.

A standard Rockwell C indentation was used to evaluate the adhesion of coating with substrate. The destructive quality of the indentation was compared by VDI 3198 norm and its principle is presented in Fig. 1. The indentations were made for a load of 150 kg to cause damage to the coating and an optical imaging technique was used to evaluate the test. The hardness of the coatings was measured using dynamic ultra micro indentation instrument (Shimadzu Duh211s) equipped with Berkovich indenter. The test was carried out at a maximum indentation load of 200 mN. The hardness of the coatings were calculated according to Oliver and Pharr's method [16]. The wear resistance of the coatings was determined by PLINT TE66 micro scale tester mounted with a steel ball under a constant load of 2.5 N with a sliding velocity 2.5 m/s. The wear volume was calculated for sliding distance of 160,320,480,640 and 800 m respectively using the equation

$$V \approx \frac{\pi b^4}{64R}$$
 for $b \ll R$

where b is the wear crater diameter and R is the radius of the steel ball. The wear crater was characterized using optical microscope and scanning electron microscope.



Fig. 1. Standard for VDI 3198 indentation test.

TABLE I: CHEMICAL COMPOSITIO	N OF ELECTROLYTE SOLUTION
Coating bath composition	Concentration (g/l)

counting but in composition	Concentration (g/l)
Nickel Chloride	40
Sodium Hypophosphite	30
Tri Sodium Citrate	25
Ammonium Chloride	50
Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate	0.6
Nano Al ₂ O ₃	0.1

III. RESULT AND DISCUSSIONS

Fig. 2 shows the higher magnification SEM image of NiP coatings. It can be observed that surface of NiP coatings revel the formation of dense structure. The EDS spectrum shows that the phosphorus content is 11.3 weight % which is high phosphorus nickel coating with amorphous structure [17]. The properties of the electroless coatings are very sensitive to the amount of phosphorus content [18]. From SEM image shown in Fig. 3 it can be observed that the distribution of Al₂O₃ particles in NiPAl₂O₃ coatings. The codeposition of Al₂O₃ particles increases the catalytic actives sites and thus increases reduction of nickel ions. The randomly adsorbed Al₂O₃ particles on the surface were covered by nickel due to further reduction reaction which leads to high surface roughness [19] and dim surface of the coating compared to NiP coatings. The presence of Al2O3 in the coatings was confirmed by EDS spectrum analysis and chemical analysis report showed Al₂O₃ is about 2.5 wt% with a reduction in phosphorus content to 9.8 wt%. Thus the decrease in phosphorous content is related to presence of alumina content in NiP coating which is in agreement with the micron size Al₂O₃ co-deposited in NiP matrix [20].



Fig. 2. SEM image and EDS spectrum of Ni-P coating.



Fig. 3. SEM image and EDS spectrum of Ni-P-Al₂O₃ coating.



The X-ray diffraction pattern of Ni-P coatings and Ni-P- Al_2O_3 coatings are shown in Fig. 4. During deposition, the rate of diffusivity of phosphorous is small compared to nickel which results in lattice disorder in arrangement of atoms. This disorder leads to broader peak in X-ray diffraction of NiP and NiP-Al_2O_3 coatings. The broad peak range from 40-50°

indicates that the both coatings has lattice disorder which affects the crstallinity of the coating. The disappearance of phosphorous peaks shows that phosphorus content in the coating is low because the deposition temperature is not sufficient to precipitate the phosphorous phases. The high intensity diffraction at 44.5° is a clear indication of Ni (111) plane which confirms the nano crystalline nature of the coatings. In NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings some small low intensity peaks of Al₂O₃ are observed which indicates the co-deposition of Al₂O₃ particles in NiP matrix.



Fig. 5. Optical micrograph of Rockwell indentation test on (a) NiP coatings (b) NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings.



Fig. 6. Indentation load-depth curve on NiP and NiP-Al₂O₃ coating for indentation load 200 mN.



Fig. 7. Comparison of hardness of the substrate, NiP coatings and NiP Al_2O_3 coatings.

Adhesion measurement was done by using standard Rockwell hardness tester. The damage of the coating layer was compared with the VDI 3198 standard F1-F6 shown in Fig. 1. F1-F4 are the acceptable failures which defines sufficient adhesion and F5-F6 represents insufficient adhesion [21]. Optical micrograph images of indentation for NiP and NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings are given in Fig. 5. As seen in Fig. 5(a), there are few radial micro cracks observed at the perimeter of the indentation crater without any delamination in Ni-P coatings. The Fig. 5 (b) shows the indentation crater of NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings which

has more number of radial cracks compared to NiP coatings however delamination on the coatings is not observed. The adhesion results were related to F1 and sufficient bonding is observed between the coating and substrate in both coatings.

The hardness of the coating was achieved using dynamic ultra micro indentation instrument. Fig. 6 shows the indentation load- depth curve for NiP and NiP Al₂O₃ coatings. Considering the maximum load of 200 mN, the maximum depth for NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings is 1.18 μ m where as for NiP coatings the penetration depth is 1.4 μ m. This decrease in depth of penetration is due to the co-deposited Al₂O₃ particles in NiP matrix which lead to decrease in contact area of the indenter with the coatings. The reduction in contact area results in hardness increase for NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings. In order to avoid the substrate hardness effect, the ratio between penetration depth to coatings thickness to be less than 0.1. As the average thickness of both coatings were 15 to 18 μ m, the calculated hardness values has no effect on substrate [22].

Fig. 7 shows the hardness comparison of NiP and NiP- Al_2O_3 coatings. The reported hardness values represent the average and standard deviation of five replicates. As seen in Fig.7 there is abrupt increase in hardness value from substrate to NiP coating of about 7.8 MPa. The hardness value obtained for NiP- Al_2O_3 is 9.4 GPa. An increase in 1.6 GPa hardness value is due to the existence of hard Al_2O_3 particles which acts as a barrier to plastic deformation of NiP matrix [20].



Fig. 8. Volume loss of NiP and NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings with varying sliding distance.

Fig. 8 shows the wear volume loss of NiP and NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings. It can be observed that the wear volume increases as the sliding distance increases in both coatings. However the wear loss for NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings remains less than that of NiP coatings. The co-deposition of Al_2O_3 particles resists the deformation during relative motion of steel ball over the coatings and hence the volume loss is smaller for NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings in all the sliding distances. This difference in wear volume is due to the high hardness of the coatings and the results are in agreement with SiC particles co-deposition in NiP matrix [23]. However the slope of the wear volume curve is not linear which might be due to the effect of material transfer between the coatings and steel ball.

Fig. 9 shows the variation of wear resistance over sliding distance of NiP and NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings. It is clearly seen that constant increase in the wear resistance of NiP coatings over the sliding distance. The relative movement of steel ball and NiP coatings leads to the material transfer and generation of wear debris. The wear debris oxidized and formed an oxide layer which acts as lubricant and increases the wear resistance

of the NiP coating over the sliding distance. A rapid increase in wear resistance is observed for NiP-Al₂O₃ coating till the sliding distance of 480 m and also observed that a slight decrease in wear resistance with a sliding distance of 800 m. As the interfacial bond between NiP matrix and Al₂O₃ particles is good which results in maximum wear resistance upto a sliding distance of 480 m. But further increase in sliding distance leads to formation of wear debris which consists of Al₂O₃ particles. The presence Al₂O₃ particles in wear resistance of NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings got reduced. However the formation of oxide layer resists the wear loss and detained decrease in wear resistance.



Fig. 9. Wear resistance of NiP and NiP-Al_2O_3 coatings with varying sliding distance.



Fig. 10. SEM images of wear crater NiP coating (a) for a sliding distance of 800 m (b) at higher magnification.



Fig. 11, SEM images of wear crater NiP-Al₂O₃ coating (a) for a sliding distance of 800 m (b) at higher magnification.

The scanning electron micrograph image of wear crater for NiP coatings is shown in Fig. 10. For larger sliding distance, which is 800 m in Fig. 10(a) the top surface is completely worn out. During initial rotation of steel ball, a perfect contact between the surfaces took place which lead to shear and deformation of the coating. The deformation results in material detachment, debris generation and material transfer. The transferred materials and wear debris are easily carried out along the rotation of steel ball but most of the particles firmly bound and entrapped between steel ball and coating. The entrapped particles oxidized and observed as ridges. The formed ridges acts as lubricating layer and increases the wear resistance of the coating. The closer observation of wear crater in Fig. 10(b) of NiP coating indicates plastic deformation of the coating which could form wear debris by an adhesive wear mechanism.

A general view of wear crater on NiP-Al₂O₃ coating is shown in Fig. 11. It is observed that wear crater is typical smaller diameter than NiP wear crater for the same sliding distance of 800 m. There are more ridges of iron oxide observed in Fig. 11(a) which increases the wear resistance of the coating. During the wear test process the co-deposited Al₂O₃ particles have reduced the direct contact of steel ball with coating. This reduction in contact not only reduces the wear but increases the more amount of material transfer from steel ball. The magnified wear crater image in Fig.11 (b) reveals that abrasive grooves and scuffing of coating with absence of prominent plastic deformation and the wear morphology of NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings. The formation of grooves can be associated with the presence of hard Al₂O₃ particles between steel ball and the coating surface. Initially the codeposited Al₂O₃ particles were pressed in NiP matrix due the rotating action of steel ball. The pressed in particles were extensively form interfacial bond with NiP matrix which results in increase of wear resistance of the NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings. During continuous relative motion the Al₂O₃ particles detached from the coating giving rise to a negative result by scratching and ploughing of the coating surface which shows the wear mechanism is dominated with abrasive wear.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Electroless NiP shows some attractive properties of high hardness and better adhesion however their properties further enhanced by co-deposition of second phase Al₂O₃ particles. Based on coating preparation and analysis of results using characterization and mechanical tests, the following conclusions have been obtained.

- Distribution of Al₂O₃ particles on NiP coatings were clearly observed on the scanning electron micrograph images. The EDX results revel that decrease in phosphorus content from 11.3% to 9.8% due to the codeposition of Al₂O₃ particle.
- Surface hardness improved from 2.6 GPa to 7.8GPa (increase in 200%) due to the deposition of NiP coating on mild steel. Further improvement in hardness to 9.4 GPa (increase in 20.5%) due to co-deposition of Al₂O₃ particles with NiP coatings.
- Adhesion measurement through indentation techniques shows that absence of delamination in both NiP and NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings and mild steel substrate is highly adherent to coating.
- The co-deposition of Al₂O₃ particles results in decrease in wear volume and increase in wear resistance during dry siding conditions. The wear morphology shows that adhesive wear mechanism in NiP coatings where as combined adhesive and abrasive wear mechanism in NiP-Al₂O₃ coatings.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

S. Karthikeyan thanks Heritage Erasmus Mundus partnership project funded by the European commission for

the exchange mobility scholarship for financial support and Prof Amelia Almeida for experimental work carried out at Instituto Superior Tecnico, Lisboa, Portugal.

References

- [1] D. T. Gawne and U. Ma, "Friction and wear of chromium and nickel coatings," *Wear*, vol. 129, pp. 123-142, 1989.
- [2] Y. Choi, N. I. Baik, and S. I. Hong, "Microstructural observation and wear properties of thin chrome layers prepared by pulse plating," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 397, pp. 24-29, 10/1/2001.
- [3] A. Baral and R. D. Engelken, "Chromium-based regulations and greening in metal finishing industries in the USA," *Environmental Science & Policy*, vol. 5, pp. 121-133, 4// 2002.
- [4] C. T. Dervos, J. Novakovic, and P. Vassiliou, "Vacuum heat treatment of electroless Ni–B coatings," *Materials Letters*, vol. 58, pp. 619-623, 2004.
- [5] T. Bleecks and G. Shawhan, "New electroless nickel technology as an alternative to hard chromium plating," *Met. Finish.*, vol. 87, pp. 21-27, 1989.
- [6] J. T. Winowlin Jappes, B. Ramamoorthy, and P. Kesavan Nair, "Novel approaches on the study of wear performance of electroless Ni–P/diamond composite deposites," *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, vol. 209, pp. 1004-1010, 2009.
- [7] W. X. Zhang, Z. H. Jiang, G. Y. Li, Q. Jiang, and J. S. Lian, "Electroless Ni-P/Ni-B duplex coatings for improving the hardness and the corrosion resistance of AZ91D magnesium alloy," *Applied Surface Science*, vol. 254, pp. 4949-4955, 2008.
- [8] X. Tang, M. Cao, C. Bi, L. Yan, and B. Zhang, "Research on a new surface activation process for electroless plating on ABS plastic," *Materials Letters*, vol. 62, pp. 1089-1091, 3/15/ 2008.
- [9] R. Elansezhian, B. Ramamoorthy, and P. Kesavan Nair, "Effect of surfactants on the mechanical properties of electroless (Ni–P) coating," *Surface and Coatings Technology*, vol. 203, pp. 709-712, 2008.
- [10] K.-H. Hou, T. Han, H.-H. Sheu, and M.-D. Ger, "Preparation and wear resistance of electrodeposited Ni–W/diamond composite coatings," *Applied Surface Science*, vol. 308, pp. 372-379, 7/30/ 2014.
- [11] W. Chen, W. Gao, and Y. He, "A novel electroless plating of Ni– P–TiO2 nano-composite coatings," *Surface and Coatings Technology*, vol. 204, pp. 2493-2498, 2010.
- [12] D. Dong, X. H. Chen, W. T. Xiao, G. B. Yang, and P. Y. Zhang, "Preparation and properties of electroless Ni–P–SiO2 composite coatings," *Applied Surface Science*, vol. 255, pp. 7051-7055, 2009.
- [13] J. N. Balaraju, Kalavati, and K. S. Rajam, "Influence of particle size on the microstructure, hardness and corrosion resistance of electroless Ni–P–Al2O3 composite coatings," *Surface and Coatings Technology*, vol. 200, pp. 3933-3941, 2006.
- [14] Y. de Hazan, D. Werner, M. Z'Graggen, M. Groteklaes, and T. Graule, "Homogeneous Ni-P/Al2O3 nanocomposite coatings from stable dispersions in electroless nickel baths," *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, vol. 328, pp. 103-109, 2008.

- [15] J. N. Balaraju, Kalavati, and K. S. Rajam, "Electroless ternary Ni–W–P alloys containing micron size Al2O3 particles," *Surface and Coatings Technology*, vol. 205, pp. 575-581, 2010.
- [16] W. C. Oliver and G. M. Pharr, "An improved technique for determining hardness and elastic modulus using load and displacement sensing indentation experiments," *Journal of materials research*, vol. 7, pp. 1564-1583, 1992.
- [17] Q. X. Mai, R. D. Daniels, and H. B. Harpalani, "Structural changes induced by heating in electroless nickel-phosphorus alloys," *Thin Solid Films*, vol. 166, pp. 235-247, 12/1/ 1988.
- [18] J.-W. Yoon, J.-H. Park, C.-C. Shur, and S.-B. Jung, "Characteristic evaluation of electroless nickel–phosphorus deposits with different phosphorus contents," *Microelectronic Engineering*, vol. 84, pp. 2552-2557, 11// 2007.
- [19] S. Karthikeyan and B. Ramamoorthy, "Effect of reducing agent and nano Al2O3 particles on the properties of electroless Ni–P coating," *Applied Surface Science*, vol. 307, pp. 654-660, 7/15/ 2014.
- [20] S. Alirezaei, S. M. Monirvaghefi, M. Salehi, and A. Saatchi, "Effect of alumina content on surface morphology and hardness of Ni-P-Al2O3(α) electroless composite coatings," *Surface and Coatings Technology*, vol. 184, pp. 170-175, 6/22/2004.
- [21] N. Vidakis, A. Antoniadis, and N. Bilalis, "The VDI 3198 indentation test evaluation of a reliable qualitative control for layered compounds," *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, vol. 143–144, pp. 481-485, 2003.
- [22] "ASTM E2546-07," in *Standard Practice for Instrumented Indentation Testing*, ed. West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM international, 2007.
- [23] M. Franco, W. Sha, S. Malinov, and H. Liu, "Micro-scale wear characteristics of electroless Ni–P/SiC composite coating under two different sliding conditions," *Wear*, vol. 317, pp. 254-264, 9/15/ 2014.



S. Karthikeyan was born in India in 1980 and did his master degree in Thiagarajar College of Engineering, Madurai, India in 2003 in production engineering. He has 3 years of industrial experience and 4 years of teaching experience in the fields of mechanical engineering. Presently he is a research scholar in IIT madras and gained research experience for past 3 years. His research interests in the area of development and testing of thin surface coatings. He

published 1 international article and 3 conference papers.



L. Vijayarahavan was born in India in 1955 and did his master degree in PSG College of Technology, Coimbatore, India in 1976. He gained his doctorate degree from IIT Madras in 1983 and is working as a professor in IIT Madras for more than 30 years. His research interests are fabrication and machining of composites and coatings. He published more than 30 international papers and 70 national

and international conferences.